## acococococococoncoccor math NINW

This Easter Edition of mathNEWS is dedicated to Bieberbach, his conjecture, the guy who proved it, anyone else working on or with it, the country music station that told our source about it, our only source who listens to country music, and her sister (who may or may not exist.)

## Volume 36 Number 2

## Sept. 281984



Warning: this imaginary issue is complex but contains nothing real.

## WatNext?

On Monday Sept. 24th the Computers in Education committee of the Engineering faculty kicked off its lunchtime seminar series with WatNext - A Perspective on Computing at Waterloo, with J. Wes Graham. Dr. Graham is the Dean of Computing, and has played an important role in nearly all computing developments at Waterloo over the past 25 years. When he talks, people tend to listen ...

To slake curiosities, Graham began by answering "We don't know for sure" to the question "What next?" All of the innovations (eg. WATFOR, WIDJET, etc.) in the past have been a response to the demand for faster, cheaper, more powerful, or more convenient ways to use computers. Graham remarked, "Most developments ... have been studentinstigated. From day one, the students have wanted far more computing than we can produce."

Dr. Graham illustrated this by giving a short history of the student-used systems here. (This story is familiar to many of us.) From the time the touted $\$ 2$ million computer arrived in 1963 to the advent of timesharing terminals in the early 1970's nearly all programs were written in WATFOR and all jobs were entered on punched cards. WIDJET was a stop-gap measure to replace physical queues, of students lining up at a card reader, with electronic queues. At the latest stage, much of the undergraduate computing load has been moved to personal computers, allowing WIDJET to die quietly last Fall.

Following this trend into the future, Graham said that ideally each student (regardless of faculty) would have a functional, powerful, battery-driven portable computer that you could carry around the campus and plug it into "the network" anywhere. Students wouldn't think of a personal computer as a computer but rather as a tool, part of their working environment. Although Graham regards this "a dream" now, it is conceivable by the 1990 's. The technological barriers are being extended as we speak, and the networking issues are being addressed by systems like JANET, the MicroNetwork, and WatStar. However, Graham added that the network problem has not yet been effectively solved.

The seminar ended with questions about some of the current projects under Graham's purview. Waterloo's involvement in the New Oxford English Dictionary (NOED) involves taking the one billion characters of the NOED and managing it as an on-line data base. The techniques used on that project will, Graham hopes, also help with other projects that involve huge data bases. When asked about the Apple Consortium deal (an agreement between Apple Computer and a number of American universities to provide cheap Macs for students), Graham explained that in such a deal the universities have to not only subsidize the cost of each computer sold but also have to entrench the Macintosh of in their curriculum to guarantee its use. Underlying "catches" like these made the Apple offer unpalatable to many people at Waterloo. When asked if undergraduates would see much of the new Digital Equipment hardware, Graham expected that within a year students would be "guinea pigs" for new systems under development on the DEC Pros, Rainbows and microVAXes. Regarding the ICR building, Graham said that the design would be selected next week and that excavation would start early in the Winter term.

## Tom Ivey

These seminars take place in CPH 3985 at 12:30 on nine consecutive Mondays this term.

## Graduation Photos

The Math Society is presently working with Forde Studios of Kitchener to arrange graduation photo sessions here in the Math and Computer Building later on this term, as well as photo sessions in the spring. The Fall sessions will begin on Monday Oct. 22nd, the Spring sessions begin on Monday Jan. 28, 1985. For the Fall session, proofs will be available for pick-up by Wednesday Nov. 7.

Please sign up for your sitting time as soon as possible. Lists and more information are available in the MathSoc Office MC3038.

## movieNEWS

My reference material for this column may not be extraordinary but it will usually prove to be satisfactory. It is unfortunate, however, that i (you can say what you like about the small 'i' but it's my trademark and follows me wherever i go) must begin my first real movieNEWS article by saying that $i$ know absolutely nothing about Rich And Strange, (Cinema Gratis, Campus Center, Wed Oct. $39: 30$ ). I may not even get a chance to see it so i would certainly be pleased if someone who does see it tells me about it (via the mathNEWS box).

I can tell you a little about Terms Of Endearment, (Fed Flicks, AL 116, 8pm \& 10:30 tonight and Sat., 8pm Sun). This was a big award winner last year, directed by James L. Brooks (i'm not familiar with anything else he has done if he has done anything else), with Shirley Maclaine, Debra Winger and a charming Jack Nicholson. It's a tear jerker and a good movie but i don't consider it to be worth of the praise it received. The movie had too much reality, too much slice-of-life for my tastes, it never stopped to say it was a movie. I largely dislike reality and so i prefer not to see it in the theatres. I do recommend seeing it though, and i will probably listen to my own recommendation.

Fine Arts 246 has the best movie of the week with The Seventh Seal, (FINE246, PHY245, 2:30 \& 7pm Tues Oct. 2). This is an older (1956) Ingmar Bergman film with complex themes of religion, death, pain and joy woven into the story of a knight returning from the crusades. It is a fascinating film and a memorable experience and it bears no resemblence to reality. This is worth seeing more than once and i recommend it to all. I ask, however, that you respect the rest of the audience as this is a fine arts course to them.

The trivia contest will be starting soon. October 5 is the deadline for pre-contest information submissions - ten favorite movies (any order) and five personalities (any type and they need not all be different; i.e. if you like five actresses above and beyond anyone else connected with movies that's what i want to know.)

Here quickly is my own list:

1) The Stalker (USSR 1979)
2) Casablanca
3) Citizen Kane
4) Wuthering Heights
5) The Woman Next Door (FR 1981)
6) Koyaanisqatsi (US 1983)
7) The Adventures Of Robin Hood
8) North By Northwest
9) The Maltese Falcon
10) Sophie's Choice
11) Alfred Hitchcock
12) Orson Welles
13) Humphrey Bogart
14) Charlie Chaplin
15) Lillian Gish

Remember - all submissions to mathNEWS should be placed in our mailbox opposite the third floor smoking lounge.

## The CSC Open

## Computer Othello Tournament

When: November 10, 1984.<br>Where: Somewhere in the Math \& Computer building. Exact rooms will depend on the entries received.

Who: Anyone. Includes students at UW, as well as off-campus
entrants.
Why: To encourage programming for purposes other than completing CS assignments.

The competition is open to all entrants from anywhere in Known Space. The entrant must have written a noncommercial computer version of the game of Othello, but he may enter up to three different programs in the tournament. The games may be run on any computer that (a) the competitor can provide (i.e. transport to or dial up from the MC building) or (b) that is available for use to the CSC. These latter computers include the 'bun, VAX/UNIX (4.2 BSD) and IBM 4341 (VM/CMS). The programs may be written in any language, or implemented in hardware if so desired.

The competition will be organized as a five round Swisssystem tournament, with each program is limited to a maximum of 30 minutes/game. Chess clocks will be used to time the moves. Any time consumed by machine or program failures will be attributed to the to the competitor's time clock, unless permission is granted by the organizers to stop the game. All programs must use the standard algebraic notation for moves, with A1 being the bottom left corner and H8 the top right corner of the board. Any game found making an illegal move will be deemed to have lost that game by the count of 64-0.

Prizes will be provided by Computerland of Waterloo in the form of gift certificates redeemable in any merchandise, as well as by possibly other companies. The Computer Science Club will provide trophies to the top three finishers, and the winner's name will be engraved on a permanent trophy that will stays in the possession of the CSC.

Entry fee will be $\$ 5.00$ for CSC members and $\$ 6.00$ for others. In addition, registration later than November 3rd, 1984, will cause a late entrance fee of $\$ 2.00$ to be imposed. The entry of multiple programs implies the charging of multiple entry fees.

More information and entry forms are available from:
Computer Science Club
University of Waterloo
200 University Avenue West
Waterloo, Ontario
N2L 3G1 Canada
or, by electronic mail:
\{allegra,clyde,decvax,ihnp4,utzoo\}!watmath!csc

## A Prayer on theiselection of the Design


#### Abstract

O great Cthulhu of the upper echelons of University Administration whose ways are largely unknown to us mundane folk,


Grant us an edifice that is not an eyesore, that resembleth not a grey sand castle left out in the rain,

Upon the exterior walls of which we may hang banners and trusting in our own righteousness prevent nasty engineers from scaling those same walls so to desecrate the Pink Tie which we hold dear in our hearts and cardigans,

And in which we may find a Library of vast and quiet expanse, that resembleth not a warehouse with shelves and tables, nor resembleth a high prison-place with narrow unyielding panes,

And through which, in unity with Faculty and Administration, we may pass into a new and great parking lot, and leave this place ensconced upon our Harley-Davidsons and clutching our degrees.

## Amen

## Car Rally Tomorrow

Don't forget the MathSoc Car Rally tomorrow (Sat.) starting at noon. It will be about two and a half hours of driving all over K-W and outskirts following silly instructions and looking for silly things. There are prizes, a large trophy and a barbecue afterwards for the survivors. Fifty cents buys you two hotdogs and a pop. Any number can ride in a car but only two enter.

Sign up in the MathSoc office today or show up tomorrow at noon in parking lot A.

## Math Grad Ball '85

The Math Grad Ball has benn tentatively set for Saturday March 23, 1985. At present the Math Society has few volunteers to organize the event. Because there are a number of activities to co-ordinate, it is important to start working on MGB ' 85 early. If you are interested in being involved, come to the MathSoc Office (MC 3038) and fill out an "Information Sheet". You can do a little; you can do a lot! Every bit helps.

MathSoc also has a larger concern. Just how well attended will Math Grad Ball ' 85 be? Because there are so few 4th year students involved in MathSoc (three vacant 4th year Rep seats on MathSoc Council), it is hard to get a good feel for the desire for an MGB. Please give us feedback! Volunteer today!

## LookAhead

## A glance at upcoming events

| Math Events |
| :--- |
| Sept. 29 MathSoc Car Rally |
| Oct. 5 Oktoberfest |
| Fed Flicks |
| Cinema Gratis |
| See movieNEWS column |
| mathNEWS dates |
| Sept. 30: mathNEWS articles deadline <br> Oct. 1: mathNEWS production night, MCs038. <br> Oct. 5: Next mathNEWS available |
| UW Arts Centre |
| Call Humanities Theatre Box Office at |
| 885-4280 for more info |
| Sept. 29 Royal Canadian Air Farce |
| DCS Courses |
| Free! One to three one-hour lectures; |
| contact DCS to register. |
| Oct. $9,10,12$ CMS Part II <br> Sept. $24,26,27$ Introduction to GML <br> Oct. 1,2,4 Introduction to APL <br> Oct. 1,3,5 Introductory Unix |
| For Co-ops Only |
| Sept. 28 Want Ads come out! |
| Oct. 1 Job Applications due. |
| Oct. 2 Late postings begin. |
| Oct. 22 Interviews Start! |
| Nov. 8 Pick up job ranking forms. |
| Nov. 9 Interviews end. |
| Nov. 9 Ranking forms due. |

## Feedbach

## Not the Proof of the Week

Many of you are perhaps wondering why we published a "proof of the week" last issue. (Indeed, I wonder myself ...) It seems that when mathNEWS eventually crawls into the limelight Friday mornings, its readers do not necessarily want to look at (or try to understand) a proof of some obscure but incorrect result opaquely derived from the CS 375 course notes. Lord knows we have enough "proofs" to understand already. Too often the humour published here goes off the deep end, into the trenches and slimy bogs of esoterica.

However the title still has a nice ring to it. Why not try "Prof of the Week" instead? If this feature is revived, my recommendation would be Dr. Cizek for the category of "most repetitions of the word sometimes in a scheduled lecture." In the meantime, let's lay off the proofs and lay on the real issues (whatever they may be ...).

## The Existence of Self

The existence of self is defined by happenings and the perceptions of happenings. The perception is also a happening. All things are happenings and they define self. It is important to realize that self is not a happening.

Why is the existence of self not a happening? Let's suppose that self is a happening. Because self is defined by happenings, there can be no self in the absence of happenings. Clearly, the non-existence of self is defined. However, if self is a happening then the existence of self is defined by its existence. There can be no non-existence of self and this theory quietly goes poof.

Any two selfs will not necessarily have the same perceptions of the same happening. This is primarily due to the fact that the perception is a happening. This is not to say that any two people necessarily have different perceptions, however they cannot communicate their perceptions successfully enough to establish this. The act of communication is a happening and it helps to define self. The perception of the communication is also a happening and defines the self. We can only perceive the perceptions of others through our own perceptions. This is not to say that all men are islands. We share common happenings, it is only our communications of them that are isolated.

I will illustrate this point. You who are reading this lecture will have noticed that i do not define my terms. If you have understood this lecture then you comprehend that any definition of mine will neither be understood nor interpreted in the way that i intend. Also there is a question of semantics. All terms in any definition given by me would have to be themselves defined.

From this point the theory diverges. There are two schools of thought dealing with the implications of happenings.

The first is the Javid school. This school maintains that because each self has a different interpretation of each happening, each interpretation is not perfectly correct. In each happening that is a perception, there is a flaw. This flaw is the innate human-ness of self. This theory defines the existence of God as the self that is defined by the correct perceptions of the happenings that define it.

The second school is the Ernie school, named after me. This school maintains that each perception of a happening is probably different, but that they are not necessarily different. We agree that the difference is the innate human-ness of self. We do not believe that there is one correct perception of a happening. This is because the perception of the happening is itself a happening. We feel that each perception is equally valid, and that all are true. We feel, however, that each perception may be invalid for any other self.

All perceptions of happenings are valid for the self that they define. There is no ultimate true way of perceiving a happening. There is only the way the self perceives the happening.

This theory is meant to define self. We feel that the Jar vid school has defined self in terms of God. We did not want a definition in terms of god. Because of the very nature of God, any definition of self that includes God immediately defines self in terms of God.

Thus endeth the lecture. Thank you and goodnight.

## Dr. Ernie

## mathNEWS and Bieberbach

You can breathe easy now, no more sleepless nights, your worries are over. Word on the street is that the Bieberbach conjecture has been proven. The reliability of our source is questionable - our only claim is that nobody argues with her.

The fact that this conjecture has been proven may open up various new and wonderful possibilities to you and the mathematical community in general, but to us here at the mathNEWS editor's desk it presents one rather significant problem: what is the Bieberbach conjecture? Even Alfred doesn't know and he's a regular Cary Timar when it comes to math. Anyone who can provide mathNEWS with a suitably simple explanation will be suitably rewarded.

Now this raises a fundamental question: What is mathNEWS? Is it our responsibility to research things like the Bieberbach conjecture?

Our newly adopted constitution says that mathNEWS :a) To inform Society members ... of the concerns of members in general through reports on relevant ... meetings and activities; b) To provide a forum where all Society members can express themselves; c) To entertain; but makes no mention of the relative significance of these purposes.

It is my personal belief that the primary purpose of mathNEWS is to entertain. If you are not sleeping in your Friday class then you probably want something entertaining. The other papers distributed on campus do an excellent job of keeping you informed about news and events on campus. It would be unrealistic to try to provide the same information via mathNEWS. News that is specific to the math department, faculty and students will be printed whenever it is presented but it requires input from the appropriate groups (nobody told us about class rep nominations, - what's Watsfic up to?) Furthermore there just plain isn't enough of this sort of news. In regards to being a forum for opinions and submissions of math undergrads, well, submissions are scarce but anything of merit is printed.

It all boils down to this: mathNEWS, your paper, requires your submissions of articles, information and opinions relevant to your fellow math undergrads. Finally, to anyone who is not satisfied with the quality of mathNEWS, all i can say is that it is the math undergrad student's paper and the blame is as much yours as it is ours.

## Gridword

The winner for last week's Gridword is John McIntosh-come and pick up your prize at MathSoc, John. The correct solution should appear somewhere around here ...

In the meantime, here's another puzzle for this week. Good luck!

## Across

1a. Beatles last album
1i. chocolate stuff
2a. Keaton objective
2i. Joyce's hero
3a. goes ever on and on

3f. a rhythmic clue
3m. French word
4c. coloured poison
4 k . bedtime fairy
5a. Federal Post Office
5e. Burkowski
51. Arrakis novel

6a. last half John believers
7a. dsch and Alfred
7e. seraglio
8b. U.S. revolutionary Allen
81. diving duck

9a. not restful
9k. bad cheque
10a. Dada dictaphone
10e. pass the Japanese liquour
10 m . corny unit
11a. pearl's mother
11g. Backus less
11j. 4k prefix
12c. twisting force
12 m . naughty gate
13a. Venezuela copper centre
13f. finding again
14. estrogen, eg.

14 m . short giulio
15b. Bun o/s
15 g . blue swedes
15n. Alfred's nemesis

Down
a1. armour clasp
a9. Utah mountain
b1. ghostly greeting
b5. naughty bits
b13. Tommy, eg.
c1. again, stranglers
d1. kite or match
d8. masculine nominative
d11. wanders
e1. penultimate Lanthanide
e4. high grade plaster
f1. watery moon-cycle f12. burning ball of wind
g1. one who drains
g9. catses
h3. Spock's degree
h6. North Dakota
h9. Roman cremator
i1. 3-d tesseract
i6. one weber per square metre
i12. undersea captain
j1. CPU component
j5. Mohs one
j10. many Australian teddies
k1. growth
k6. Welsh town
11. confused with like
14. not nice
m1. compounds with smelly metal
m8. . 01 Deutschmark
n2. ancient explosive
n10. without accent or stress

1. capacity for sensation
o10. moonshine

|  |  |  | B | 10 | Q10 | U 11 |  | 1 TA | $A R$ | R 1 | 1 A | N |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | , | 0 |  | U |  | H | HE | E | E | B | U | N | C | 0 |
|  | D |  |  |  | A |  | 71 | $1 G$ | GH | T | 1 | N |  |  |  |
|  | E | E |  |  |  | P | G | $G R$ | RE | EA |  |  |  | h | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | R |  |  |  | G | 4 | N | NA | S | S |  | C | 5 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 30 | 016 | Q |  |  | A | + | 19 | A |  |  | c |
|  | V |  |  |  |  | OV |  |  | L | 0 | O 5 | T |  |  | 0 |
|  | E | E |  |  |  | NE |  | $S$ |  |  |  | $E$ |  | 1 | c |
|  | L |  | EN | N |  |  |  | A | $1 /$ |  | B | R |  | c | K |
|  | E | N | N D | D |  | FO |  |  | E |  | , | W |  |  | K |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AU | 5 |  | R | A | L | 1 | A |
|  | E |  |  |  | EV | $1 C$ | , | +R | 防 |  | M | U |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | EF | FE | ER | E | N | T | 1 | A | L |  |  | 1 |

7 mathSOC presents...
OKTOBERFEST '84
at the ANNEX!!
$f_{\text {fi. }}$
OCTOBER $5^{\text {th }}$
6:00 pm
$\$ 4.00$ person

Tickets on sale in the mathSOC Office MC 3038 engSoc, sciSoc, ASu, \& Fed of Students

Maasthead well, done at $1: 30 \mathrm{AM}$, this was incredible. Say what what you like about the
paper, but we had fun. Thanks to Doctor Ernie; funny as usual and Tom and the only impressive article.
welcone to youre always welcome to watch and we'll something to do next time.
Special thanks to the the way." specifically


